arXiv:1608.03546v2 [math.GN] 1 Sep 2016

DISCRETE SUBSETS IN TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS AND COUNTABLE EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED GROUPS

EVGENII REZNICHENKO AND OL'GA SIPACHEVA

Abstract. It is proved that any countable topological group in which the filter of neighborhoods of the identity element is not rapid contains a discrete set with precisely one nonisolated point. This gives a negative answer to Protasov's question on the existence in ZFC of a countable nondiscrete group in which all discrete subsets are closed. It is also proved that the existence of a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group implies the existence of a rapid ultrafilter and, hence, a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group cannot be constructed in ZFC.

Introduction and preliminaries

This work was motivated by the desire to solve the following problem of Arhangel'skii [1].

Problem (Arhangel'skii, 1967)**.** Does there exist in ZFC a nondiscrete Hausdorff extremally disconnected topological group?

Recall that a topological space is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of any open set in this space is open (or, equivalently, the closures of any two disjoint open sets are disjoint). Although this definition may seem somewhat artificial, extremal disconnectedness is a classical notion of topology. We only mention that the regular extremally disconnected spaces are precisely the projective objects in the category of regular spaces and perfect continuous maps and that the extremal disconnectedness of the Stone space of a Boolean algebra characterizes the completeness of this algebra; see [2] for details.

It has long been known that an infinite extremally disconnected topological group cannot be compact; moreover, it cannot contain infinite compact sets [1]. However, Arhangel'skii's problem on the existence in ZFC of general (noncompact) extremally disconnected groups has not been solved so far. Still, some progress has been made. First, several consistent examples have been constructed [3–8]. Most of these examples are countable, although Malykhin constructed (under various set-theoretic assumptions) a locally uncountable separable extremally disconnected group and a nondiscrete extremally disconnected group in which all countable subsets are closed and discrete [6]. Note that maximal topological groups (see definition in Section 4), which are an important special case of extremally disconnected groups, are always locally countable [5,6]. The countable version of Arhangel'skii's problem was posed

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H11, 54G05; Secondary 03E35, 22A05.

Key words and phrases. Topological group, extremally disconnected, discrete subset, rapid filter.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. 15-01-05369.

by various authors (see, e.g., [9, Problem 6] and [10, Question 6.1]): Does there exist a ZFC example of a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected topological group? It has been proved that such an example cannot have maximal topology [11] (see also [12, Corollary 5.21]), and it cannot contain a countable nonclosed discrete set [13] or a sequence of countable open subgroups whose intersection has empty interior [14].

In this paper we solve Arhangel'skii's problem for the class of countable groups. Namely, we prove that *the nonexistence of a countable nondiscrete Hausdorff extremally disconnected group is consistent with ZFC* (see Corollary 4.4). Since extremal disconnectedness is, obviously, inherited by dense subspaces, it follows that separable nondiscrete extremally disconnected groups cannot exist in ZFC either.

The proof of this assertion is based on the following statement, which we regard as one of the two main results of this paper: *Any countable nondiscrete Hausdorff topological group whose identity element has nonrapid filter of neighborhoods contains a discrete subspace with precisely one limit point* (Corollary 2.4). Thus, the nonexistence of a nondiscrete Hausdorff countable topological group in which all discrete subspaces are closed is consistent with ZFC (because so is the nonexistence of rapid filters).

Thanks to Malykhin's beautiful theorem that any extremally disconnected topological group must contain an open Boolean subgroup (i.e., a subgroup consisting of elements of order 2) [5], in studying the existence of extremally disconnected groups, it suffices to consider only Boolean groups. Our second main result is that *if there are no rapid filters, then any countable nondiscrete Hausdorff Boolean topological group contains two disjoint discrete subsets for each of which the zero of the group is a unique limit point* (Theorem 3.1).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we introduce and study thick sets in groups, which are our main technical tool. In the second section we use them to construct nonclosed discrete sets in countable topological groups. The third section is devoted to nonclosed discrete sets in countable Boolean topological groups. In the last section we collect corollaries of the technical results of the first three sections, which solve some known problems, and state new problems.

A key role in our study is played by rapid filters on ω. They were introduced in [15] as filters whose elements form dominating families in ${}^{\omega}\omega$: a filter $\mathscr{F}$ on ω is said to be *rapid* if every function $\omega \to \omega$ is majorized by the increasing enumeration of some element of $\mathscr{F}$. Clearly, any filter containing a rapid filter is rapid as well; thus, the existence of rapid filters is equivalent to that of rapid ultrafilters. Rapid ultrafilters are also known as semi-Q-point, or weak Q-point, ultrafilters. In [16] Miller proved that the nonexistence of rapid (ultra)filters is consistent with ZFC and gave equivalent characterizations of rapid (ultra)filters; one of them, which is particularly convenient for our purposes, can be reformulated as follows: *A filter $\mathscr{F}$ on ω is nonrapid if and only if, given any function $f\colon \omega \to \omega$, there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of finite subsets of ω such that each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ satisfies the condition $|F \cap T_n| \geq f(n)$ for some $n \in \omega$* (see [16, Theorem 3 (3)]).

We also mention Q-point, P-point, and selective ultrafilters on ω. An ultrafilter $\mathscr{U}$ on ω is a *P-point*, or *weakly selective*, ultrafilter if, given any partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω (or, equivalently, any increasing sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of subsets of ω) with $A_n \notin \mathscr{U}$, $n \in \omega$, there exists an $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|A \cap A_n| < \aleph_0$ for all n. An ultrafilter $\mathscr{U}$ on ω is said to be *Q-point*, or *rare*, if, given any partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$

of ω into finite sets, there exists an $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|A \cap A_n| = 1$ for all n. An ultrafilter which is simultaneously P-point and Q-point is said to be *selective*, or *Ramsey*. Any Q-point ultrafilter is rapid, but not vice versa (see, e.g., [16]). As mentioned above, the nonexistence of rapid (and, therefore, Q-point) ultrafilters is consistent with ZFC. The nonexistence of P-point ultrafilters is consistent as well (see [17]; Shelah's original proof is presented in [18]). However, it is still unknown whether the nonexistence of both rapid and P-point ultrafilters is consistent with ZFC.

Given a set X, we use $\mathrm{Ult}(X)$ to denote the set of ultrafilters on X and $\mathrm{Ult}^*(X)$, the set of free ultrafilters on X. For a topological space X and a point $x \in X$, by $\mathrm{Ult}_x(X)$ we denote the set of ultrafilters on X converging to x (i.e., containing all neighborhoods of x) and by $\mathrm{Ult}^*_x(X)$, the set of free ultrafilters on X converging to x. There is a natural topology on $\mathrm{Ult}(X)$, which turns this set into a compact extremally disconnected space, called the *ultrafilter space* of X (see, e.g., [19]); the set $\mathrm{Ult}^*(X)$, as well as $\mathrm{Ult}_x(X)$ and $\mathrm{Ult}^*_x(X)$ for any $x \in X$, is closed in $\mathrm{Ult}(X)$. If sets X and Y differ by finitely many elements, then $\mathrm{Ult}^*(X)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Ult}^*(Y)$. Each map $f\colon X \to Y$ induces the map $\mathrm{Ult}(f)\colon \mathrm{Ult}(X) \to \mathrm{Ult}(Y)$ defined by setting $\mathrm{Ult}(f)(\mathscr{U}) = \mathscr{V}$ if $f^{-1}(M) \in \mathscr{U}$ for each $M \in \mathscr{V}$.

For $a < b < \omega$, we set $[a, b] = \{n \in \omega : a \le n \le b\}$.

For simplicity, we assume all groups considered in this paper to be infinite and all topological groups, infinite and Hausdorff.

1. Thick sets

Definition 1. Let G be a group with identity element e. We say that a set $M \subset G$ is *thick* if $e \in M$ and there exists a positive integer m such that $|PP^{-1} \cap M \cap M^{-1}| \ge 2$ for any $P \subset G$ with $|P| \ge m$ (in other words, for any such P, the set $PP^{-1} \cap M \cap M^{-1}$ must contain at least one nonidentity element). We denote the minimum integer m with this property by J^G_M or simply J_M, when it is clear from the context which group G is meant.

We denote the family of thick subsets by $\Phi(G)$.

The following assertion follows directly from this definition.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that G is a group, $m \in \omega$, and $M \subset G$. Then $M \in \Phi(G)$ and $m = J_M$ if and only if, for any $P \subset G$ with $|P| \ge m$, there exists a $Q \subset P$ with $|Q| = 2$ for which $QQ^{-1} \subset M$.*

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that G is a group, $M \in \Phi(G)$, and n is a positive integer. Then there exists a positive integer m such that, for any $P \subset G$ with $|P| \ge m$, there is a $Q \subset P$ with $|Q| = n$ for which $QQ^{-1} \subset M$.*

Proof. Let $N = \max\{J_M, n\}$. By virtue of Ramsey's theorem [20], there exists a positive integer m such that any 2-edge-colored complete graph on m vertices contains a monochromatic clique on N vertices. Take $P \subset G$ with $|P| \ge m$. We set $P_0 = \{\{a, b\} \in [G]^2 : ab^{-1} \in M \cap M^{-1}\}$ and $P_1 = [P]^2 \setminus P_0$. There exists a $Q \subset P$ with $|Q| = N$ such that either $[Q]^2 \subset P_0$ or $[Q]^2 \subset P_1$. Since $N \ge J_M$, it follows that $[Q]^2 \cap P_0 \ne \varnothing$. Therefore, $[Q]^2 \subset P_0$ and $QQ^{-1} \subset M$. □

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose that G is a group and $M \in \Phi(G)$. Then*

(i) *$M \cap M^{-1} \in \Phi(G)$ and $J_M = J_{M \cap M^{-1}}$;*
(ii) *if $M \subset L$, then $L \in \Phi(G)$ and $J_M \ge J_L$;*

(iii) $M^{-1} \in \Phi(G)$ *and* $J_M = J_{M^{-1}}$;
(iv) *if* $M_1, M_2 \in \Phi(G)$, *then* $M_1 \cap M_2 \in \Phi(G)$.

Proof. Assertions (i) and (ii) follow directly from the definition, and (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). Let us prove (iv). Suppose that $M_1, M_2 \in \Phi(G)$. We can assume without loss of generality that $M_1^{-1} = M_1$ and $M_2^{-1} = M_2$. Proposition 1.2 implies the existence of a positive integer m such that, for any $P \subset G$ with $|P| \geq m$, there exists a $Q \subset P$ with $|Q| = J_{M_1}$ for which $QQ^{-1} \subset M_2$. Since $|Q| \geq J_{M_1}$, it follows that $|QQ^{-1} \cap M_1| \geq 2$. Hence $|PP^{-1} \cap M_1 \cap M_2| \geq 2$. □

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that G is a countable group with identity element e, X is a set, $f\colon G \to X$ is a finite-to-one map, $f(G) = X$, $\mathscr{F}$ is a free filter on G, and $\mathscr{G} = \{f(F) : F \in \mathscr{F}\}$ is a nonrapid free filter on X. Let $(M_n)_{n\in\omega}$ be a sequence of thick subsets of G. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *$\xi \setminus M_n$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$;*
(ii) *each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ contains g and h such that $f(g) \neq f(h)$ and $gh^{-1} \in \xi$.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that $M_{n+1} \subset M_n$ and $M_n = M_n^{-1}$ for all $n \in \omega$. Since the filter $\mathscr{G}$ is nonrapid, there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of finite subsets of X such that, given any $F \in \mathscr{F}$, we have $|f(F) \cap T_n| \geq J_{M_n}$ for some $n \in \omega$. We set

$$S_n = \{gh^{-1} : g, h \in f^{-1}(T_n),\ f(g) \neq f(h),\ gh^{-1} \in M_n\}$$

and $\xi = \bigcup_n S_n$.

Let us check that (i) holds. Since the sets S_k are finite, $S_k \subset M_k$, and $M_{k+1} \subset M_k$ for all $k \in \omega$, it follows that $\xi \setminus M_n \subset \bigcup_{k<n} S_k$ is finite for each n.

Let us verify (ii). Take $F \in \mathscr{F}$. We have $|f(F) \cap T_n| \geq J_{M_n}$ for some $n \in \omega$. Choose $P \subset F$ so that $f(P) \subset T_n$, $|P| \geq J_{M_n}$, and $f(g) \neq f(h)$ for any different $g, h \in P$. Note that $|PP^{-1} \cap M_n| \geq 2$, so that $gh^{-1} \in M_n$ for some different $g, h \in P$. Thus, there are $g, h \in F$ such that $f(g) \neq f(h)$, $g, h \in f^{-1}(T_n)$, and $gh^{-1} \in M_n$. Therefore, $gh^{-1} \in S_n \subset \xi$. □

Proposition 1.4. *Let G be a group. If $W \subset G$ and $W \cap WW^{-1} = \varnothing$, then $G \setminus W \in \Phi(G)$ and $J_{G\setminus W} \leq 4$.*

Proof. We set $M = G \setminus W$. Take $P \subset G$ with $|P| = 4$; suppose that $P = \{p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3\}$. Let us show that $PP^{-1} \cap (M \cap M^{-1}) \neq \{e\}$. Assume that, on the contrary, $PP^{-1} \subset (G \setminus (M \cap M^{-1})) \cup \{e\} = W \cup W^{-1} \cup \{e\}$. Fix any $i \leq 4$. For each $j \neq i$, $j \leq 4$, we have either $p_i p_j^{-1} \in W$ or $(p_i p_j^{-1})^{-1} = p_j p_i^{-1} \in W$. Hence the numbers $s_i = |\{j : p_i p_j^{-1} \in W\}|$ and $m_i = |\{j : p_j p_i^{-1} \in W\}|$ satisfy the condition $s_i + m_i \geq 3$. Clearly, $\sum_{i\leq 4} s_i = \sum_{i\leq 4} m_i$. Therefore, $m_n \geq 2$ for some n. Let i and j be different numbers for which $g = p_i p_n^{-1} \in W$ and $h = p_j p_n^{-1} \in W$. Then either $gh^{-1} \in W$ or $hg^{-1} \in W$. This contradicts the assumption $W \cap WW^{-1} = \varnothing$. □

There are thick sets different from those provided by Propositions 1.3 and 1.4.

Definition 2. We say that a subset Q of a group G is *of finite index* in G if there exists a finite set $T \subset G$ for which $QT = G$. We set

$$I_Q = \min\{|T| : T \subset G \text{ and } QT = G\}.$$

Proposition 1.5. *Let G be a group. If $Q \subset G$ is of finite index, then $QQ^{-1} \in \Phi(G)$ and $J_{QQ^{-1}} \leq I_Q + 1$.*

Proof. Let $T \subset G$ be a finite set for which $QT = G$ and $|T| = I_Q$. Take any $P \subset G$ with $|P| \geq |T| + 1$. There exists a $t \in T$ for which $|P \cap Qt| > 1$. For any different $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ such that $q_1 t, q_2 t \in P \cap Qt$, we have $q_1 q_2^{-1} \in QQ^{-1} \cap PP^{-1}$ and $q_1 q_2 \neq e$. □

Proposition 1.5 implies the following assertion.

Proposition 1.6. *Let G be a group, and let $H \subset G$ be its subgroup of finite index. Then $H \in \Phi(G)$.*

Proposition 1.7. *Any neighborhood U of the identity element in a totally bounded topological group G is thick.*

Proof. Let V be a neighborhood of the identity for which $VV^{-1} \subset U$. Since V is of finite index, it follows by Proposition 1.5 that U is thick. □

Proposition 1.8. *Suppose that G is a group, $M \in \Phi(G)$, and $S \subset G$. Then there exists a finite set $Q \subset S$ with $|Q| < J_M$ such that $S \subset MQ$. Moreover, M is of finite index and $I_M < J_M$.*

Proof. We can assume that $M = M^{-1}$. Let Q be a maximal subset of S for which $|QQ^{-1} \cap M| = 1$. Then $|Q| < J_M$ and, for any $s \in S \setminus Q$, there exists a $q \in Q$ such that $sq^{-1} \in M$ (because Q is maximal and $M = M^{-1}$). Hence $S \subset MQ$. Taking $S = G$, we obtain the second assertion. □

Example. Let G be a Boolean group with zero 0, and let $H \subset G$ be its infinite proper subgroup. Consider $M = \{0\} \cup G \setminus H$. We have $0 \in M$ and $M = -M$, and M is of finite index ($I_M = 2$), but M is not thick: $|QQ^{-1} \cap M| = 1$ for any $Q \subset H$.

Question. Let G be a group, and let M be a thick subset of G. Does there exist an $S \subset G$ of finite index for which $SS^{-1} \subset M$?

Theorem 1.1 and Propositions 1.4–1.6 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that G is a countable group with identity element e, X is a set, $f\colon G \to X$ is a finite-to-one map, $f(G) = X$, $\mathscr{F}$ is a free filter on G, and $\mathscr{G} = \{f(F) : F \in \mathscr{F}\}$ is a nonrapid free filter on X. Let $(W_n)_{n\in\omega}$ be a sequence of subsets of G such that $W_n \cap W_n W_n^{-1} = \varnothing$ for all $n \in \omega$, and let $(H_n)_{n\in\omega}$ be any sequence of subgroups of finite index in G. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *$\xi \cap W_n$ and $\xi \setminus H_n$ are finite for each $n \in \omega$;*
(ii) *each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ contains g and h such that $f(g) \neq f(h)$ and $gh^{-1} \in \xi$.*

Note that in this theorem, as well as in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 below, the subgroups H_n are not required to be proper or different.

2. Discrete sequences in groups

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a countable topological group with identity element e. Suppose that X is a set, $f\colon G \to X$ is a finite-to-one map, $f(G) = X$, $\mathscr{F}$ is a free filter on G converging to e, and $\mathscr{G} = \{f(F) : F \in \mathscr{F}\}$ is a nonrapid free filter on X. Suppose also that $(U_n)_{n\in\omega}$ is a decreasing sequence of neighborhoods of e such that $U_n = U_n^{-1}$, $U_{n+1}^3 \subset U_n$, and $\bigcap_n U_n = \{e\}$. Finally, let $(H_n)_{n\in\omega}$ be a sequence of subgroups of finite index in G. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *ξ is discrete and e is its only limit point;*
(ii) *each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ contains g and h such that $f(g) \neq f(h)$ and $gh^{-1} \in \xi$;*
(iii) *$\xi \cap U_{n+1}g$ is finite for any $n \in \omega$ and any $g \in G \setminus U_n$;*
(iv) *$\xi \setminus H_n$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$.*

If, in addition, U_n is a set of finite index for each $n \in \omega$, then

(v) *$\xi \setminus U_n$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$.*

Proof. Consider $\gamma = \{U_{n+1}g : n \in \omega,\ g \in G \setminus U_n\}$. Let us enumerate γ as $\gamma = \{W_n \subset G : n \in \omega\}$. Suppose that $W_n = U_{k+1}g$ for some $k \in \omega$ and $g \in G \setminus U_k$. Then $W_n \cap W_n W_n^{-1} = U_{k+1}g \cap U_{k+1}U_{k+1}^{-1} = \varnothing$, because $U_{k+1} = U_{k+1}^{-1}$ and $g \notin U_{k+1}^3 \subset U_k$. Theorem 1.2 implies the existence of a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ satisfying conditions (ii), (iii), and (iv); (i) follows from (ii) and (iii).

Let us check (v). Take $n \in \omega$. Since $U_{n+2}U_{n+2}^{-1} \subset U_{n+1}$ and the set U_{n+2} is of finite index, it follows from Proposition 1.5 that U_{n+1} is thick. Proposition 1.8 implies the existence of a finite set $Q \subset G \setminus U_n$ for which $G \setminus U_n \subset U_{n+1}Q$. According to (iii), $\xi \cap U_{n+1}q$ is finite for each $q \in Q$. Therefore, $\xi \setminus U_n$ is finite. □

Any countable topological group contains a sequence $(U_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of neighborhoods of the identity element satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Thus, Theorem 2.1 has the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose that G is a countable topological group with identity element e, X is a set, $f\colon G \to X$ is a finite-to-one map, $f(G) = X$, $\mathscr{F}$ is a free filter on G converging to e, and $\mathscr{G} = \{f(F) : F \in \mathscr{F}\}$ is a nonrapid free filter on X. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *ξ is discrete, and e is its only limit point;*
(ii) *each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ contains g and h such that $f(g) \neq f(h)$ and $gh^{-1} \in \xi$.*

Corollary 2.1 implies the following assertion.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that there are no rapid ultrafilters. Let G be a countable topological group with identity element e. Suppose that $Y \subset G$, $e \in \overline{Y} \setminus Y$, and $\{Y_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of Y into finite subsets. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *ξ is discrete, and e is its only limit point;*
(ii) *$\xi \subset \bigcup_{i\neq j} Y_i Y_j^{-1}$.*

Proof. Take a partition $\mathcal{X}$ of G such that $\{Y_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $\{g\} \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $g \in G \setminus Y$. We define $f\colon G \to \mathcal{X}$ to be the natural map taking each element $g \in G$ to the (uniquely determined) element $f(g)$ of $\mathcal{X}$ containing g. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a free filter on G converging to e and containing Y. Then, by virtue of Corollary 2.1, there exists a sequence $\xi' = (x'_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) ξ' is discrete, and e is its only limit point;
(ii) each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ contains g and h such that $f(g) \neq f(h)$ and $gh^{-1} \in \xi'$.

We set $\xi = \xi' \cap Z$, where $Z = \bigcup_{i\neq j} Y_i Y_j^{-1}$. Let us check that $e \in \overline{\xi}$. Take neighborhoods U and V of e in G for which $VV^{-1} \subset U$. We have $F = V \cap Y \in \mathscr{F}$. There exist $g, h \in F$ for which $f(g) \neq f(h)$ and $gh^{-1} \in \xi'$, and there exist different $i, j \in \omega$ for which $Y_i = f(g)$ and $Y_j = f(h)$. We have $gh^{-1} \in \xi' \cap Y_i Y_j^{-1} \cap VV^{-1} \subset \xi \cap U$, i.e., $\xi \cap U \neq \varnothing$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let (G,τ) be a countable topological group with identity element e and topology τ, and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a nonrapid free filter on G converging to e. Suppose that $\tau_{\mathrm{m}} \subset \tau$ is a metrizable group topology on G coarser than τ. Finally, suppose that $(H_n)_{n\in\omega}$ is a sequence of subgroups of finite index in G. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *ξ is discrete, and e is its only limit point both in (G,τ) and in (G,τ_{m});*
(ii) *$\xi \cap FF^{-1} \neq \varnothing$ for any $F \in \mathscr{F}$;*
(iii) *$\xi \setminus H_n$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$.*

If, in addition, (G,τ_{m}) is totally bounded, then

(iv) *ξ converges to e in (G,τ_{m}).*

Proof. Take a sequence $(U_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of neighborhoods of e open in (G,τ_{m}) and such that $(U_n)_n$ is a base of neighborhoods of e in (G,τ_{m}), $U_n = U_n^{-1}$, and $U_{n+1}^3 \subset U_n$ for $n \in \omega$. Let $X = G$, and let $f\colon G \to X$ be the identity map. By virtue of Theorem 2.1, there is a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ satisfying conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 2.1. Clearly, this sequence satisfies also conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of the theorem being proved.

Let us check (iv). Take $n \in \omega$. By Proposition 1.7 the neighborhood U_{n+1} is thick. Proposition 1.8 implies the existence of a finite set $Q \subset G \setminus U_n$ for which $G \setminus U_n \subset U_{n+1}Q$. According to Theorem 2.1 (iii), $\xi \cap U_{n+1}q$ is finite for each $q \in Q$. Therefore, $\xi \setminus U_n$ is finite. □

Theorem 2.2 strengthens Theorem 2.1 of [21].

Obviously, the topology of any countable topological group can be weakened to a metrizable group topology (see, e.g., [22]). Thus, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (G,τ) be a countable nondiscrete topological group with identity element e such that the filter of neighborhoods of e is nonrapid. Suppose that $(H_n)_{n\in\omega}$ is a sequence of subgroups of finite index in G. Then there exists a sequence $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ such that*

(i) *ξ is discrete and e is its only limit point;*
(ii) *$\xi \setminus H_n$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Any countable nondiscrete topological group whose identity element has nonrapid filter of neighborhoods contains a discrete sequence with precisely one limit point.*

The following theorem says that not only does any countable group with nonrapid neighborhood filter of the identity contain a discrete set with one limit point, it must also contain two such disjoint sets with the same limit point under certain set-theoretic assumptions.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (G,τ) be a countable nondiscrete topological group with identity element e such that the filter of neighborhoods of e is nonrapid, and let $(U_n)_{n\in\omega}$ be a decreasing sequence of neighborhoods of e such that $U_0 = G$, $U_n = U_n^{-1}$, $U_{n+1}^3 \subset U_n$, and $\bigcap_n U_n = \{e\}$. Consider the map $\theta\colon G\setminus\{e\} \to \omega$ defined by $\theta^{-1}(n) = U_n \setminus U_{n+1}$. Suppose that there exist no two disjoint discrete sequences $\xi, \xi' \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ each of which has the unique limit point e. Then*

(i) *$\mathrm{Ult}(\theta)(\mathrm{Ult}_e^*(G))$ contains a P-point ultrafilter $\mathscr{U}$.*

If, in addition, U_n is of finite index for each $n \in \omega$, then

(ii) *$\mathscr{U}$ can be mapped to a selective ultrafilter.*

Proof. We set $\mathscr{F}$ to be the filter of neighborhoods of e and f to be the identity map $G \to G$ and apply Theorem 2.1. Let $\xi = (x_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ be a sequence with the properties specified in Theorem 2.1. For each $n \in \omega$, there exists a $k'_n \in \omega$ such that $\xi \cap U_{k'_n} x_n = \{x_n\}$, because $\xi \cap U_{\theta(x_n)+1} x_n$ is finite and $\bigcap_m U_m = \{e\}$. Let $(k_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset \omega$ be an increasing sequence such that $k_n > k'_n$ and $k_n > \theta(x_n)$. Then (a) the sets $U_{k_n} x_n$ are disjoint and (b) $\overline{\bigcup_n U_{k_n} x_n} \setminus \left(\bigcup_n \overline{U_{k_n} x_n}\right) = \{e\}$. Indeed, if $U_{k_l} x_l \cap U_{k_m} x_m \neq \varnothing$ and, say, $l < m$, then $x_m \in U_{k_m}^{-1} U_{k_l} x_l \subset U_{k_l}^2 x_l \subset U_{k'_l} x_l$, which contradicts the definition of k'_l and, thereby, proves (a). To prove (b), we take any $g \neq e$ and find n for which $g \notin U_n$. By condition (iii) in Theorem 2.1, $\xi \cap U_{n+1} g$ is finite, and hence so is the set M of numbers m for which $U_{n+2} x_m \cap U_{n+2} g \neq \varnothing$; therefore, the intersection $U_{k_l} x_l \cap U_{n+2} g$ can be nonempty only if $l \in M$ or $k_l < n+2$, and the number of such l is finite.

Let us prove (i). Take an ultrafilter $\mathscr{V} \in \mathrm{Ult}^*_e(G)$ containing ξ. We claim that $\mathscr{U} = \mathrm{Ult}(\theta)(\mathscr{V})$ is P-point. Suppose that, on the contrary, there exists an increasing sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of sets $A_n \subset \omega$ not belonging to $\mathscr{U}$ and such that each $P \in \mathscr{U}$ has infinite intersection with some A_n. We set $B_n = \theta^{-1}(A_n) \cap U_{k_n} \cap \xi$ for $n \in \omega$ and define ξ' as $\bigcup_n B_n x_n$. For each n, $\xi \setminus \theta^{-1}(A_n) \in \mathscr{V}$ and hence $e \notin \overline{\theta^{-1}(A_n) \cap \xi}$: otherwise, we would have two disjoint discrete sequences each of which has the unique limit point e. Therefore, each B_n is a closed discrete set; by virtue of assertions (a) and (b) at the end of the preceding paragraph, the whole sequence ξ' is discrete and cannot have limit points different from e. Note that $\xi \cap \xi' = \varnothing$. Indeed, for each n, $e \notin B_n$ and hence $x_n \notin B_n x_n$; on the other hand, $B_n x_n \cap \xi \subset U_{k_n} x_n \cap \xi = \{x_n\}$. Since $e \in \overline{\xi}$, it follows that $e \notin \overline{\xi'}$, i.e., ξ' is a closed discrete subset of G. Let U be a neighborhood of e with the properties $U = U^{-1}$ and $U^2 \cap \xi' = \varnothing$, and let $P = \theta(U \cap \xi)$. We have $P \in \mathscr{U}$; hence there exists an $n \in \omega$ for which $|P \cap A_n| = \aleph_0$. Thus, we can choose $l, m \in \omega$ so that $x_l, x_m \in U \cap \xi$, $\theta(x_l), \theta(x_m) \in A_n$, and $m > k_l$. We have $x_m \in B_l$ and $x_m x_l \in \xi' \cap U^2$. This contradiction proves that $\mathscr{U}$ is a P-point ultrafilter.

To prove the second assertion of the theorem, we need the following lemma, which is also used in the next section.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{U}$ be a free ultrafilter on ω, and let $\phi\colon \omega \to \omega$ be a monotone function such that $\phi(n) > n$ for all $n \in \omega$. Then there exist monotone sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\omega}, (b_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset \omega$ such that $a_n < b_n < \phi(b_n) < a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $\bigcup_n [a_n, b_n] \in \mathscr{U}$.*

Proof. Let $(c_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset \omega$ be a sequence satisfying the conditions $c_0 = 0$ and $c_{n+1} > \phi(c_n)$. We set $A = \bigcup_n [c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}]$ and $B = \bigcup_n [c_{2n+1}, c_{2n+2}]$. We have $A \cup B = \omega$, so that either $A \in \mathscr{U}$ or $B \in \mathscr{U}$. It remains to set $a_n = c_{2n}$ and $b_n = c_{2n+1}$ in the former case and $a_n = c_{2n+1}$ and $b_n = c_{2n+2}$ in the latter. □

We proceed to prove assertion (ii). Suppose that all U_n are of finite index. Let us show that $\mathscr{U}$ can be mapped to a selective ultrafilter in this case. We can assume without loss of generality that $\theta(x_0) = 0$. Recall that the sequence ξ was chosen to satisfy all conditions in Theorem 2.1. By condition (v), $\theta^{-1}(n) \cap \xi$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$. Consider the function $\phi\colon \omega \to \omega$ defined by

$$\phi(n) = \max\{k_m : m \in \omega,\ \theta(x_m) \leq n\}$$

for each $n \in \omega$.

By virtue of Lemma 2.1, there exist monotone sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\omega}, (b_n)_{n\in\omega} \subset \omega$ such that $a_n < b_n < \phi(b_n) < a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $C = \bigcup_n [a_n, b_n] \in \mathscr{U}$. Consider the map $\eta\colon C \to \omega$ defined by $\eta^{-1}(n) = [a_n, b_n]$ for each $n \in \omega$. We set $\mathscr{W} = \mathrm{Ult}(\eta)(\mathscr{U})$ and claim that $\mathscr{W}$ is a Q-point ultrafilter.

Indeed, suppose that, on the contrary, ω can be partitioned into disjoint finite sets A_n, $n \in \omega$, so that, for each $R \in \mathscr{W}$, there exists an $n \in \omega$ such that $|R \cap A_n| > 1$. Let $D = \{n \in \omega : \theta(x_n) \in C\}$. Then the sequence $\xi_D = (x_n)_{n\in D}$ accumulates at e, because $\xi_D = \theta^{-1}(C) \cap \xi \in \mathscr{V}$. For each $n \in D$, we find $\alpha_n \in \omega$ for which $\eta(\theta(x_n)) \in A_{\alpha_n}$ and set

$$B_n = \{x_m \in \xi_D : \theta(x_m) \geq k_n,\ \eta(\theta(x_m)) \in A_{\alpha_n}\}.$$

Let $\xi' = \bigcup_{n\in D} B_n x_n$. Note that each B_n is finite (because A_{α_n} is finite, the map η is finite-to-one by definition, and $\theta \restriction \xi$ is finite-to-one by condition (v) in Theorem 2.1), and $B_n \subset U_{k_n}$ (by the definition of the map θ). Thus, for the same reasons as in the proof of assertion (i), ξ' is a discrete sequence having no limit points in $G \setminus \{e\}$, and $\xi' \cap \xi = \varnothing$. By the assumption concerning disjoint sequences with limit point e, we have $e \notin \overline{\xi'}$. Let U be a neighborhood of e such that $U = U^{-1}$ and $U^2 \cap \xi' = \varnothing$. Consider $P = \theta(U \cap \xi_D)$ and $R = \eta(P)$. We have $P \in \mathscr{U}$; therefore, $R \in \mathscr{W}$. By assumption we can find $n \in \omega$ for which $|R \cap A_n| > 1$. Take $r, s \in R \cap A_n$, $r < s$. We have $r = \eta(\theta(x_l))$ and $s = \eta(\theta(x_m))$ for some different $x_l, x_m \in U \cap \xi_D$. This means that $\theta(x_l) \in [a_r, b_r]$ and $\theta(x_m) \in [a_s, b_s]$. By the definition of the sequences (a_n) and (b_n), we have $\theta(x_m) > \phi(b_r)$. On the other hand, since $\theta(x_l) \leq b_r$, it follows that $\phi(b_r) \geq k_l$. Therefore, $\theta(x_m) \geq k_l$. Finally, we have $\alpha_l = n$, because $\eta(\theta(x_l)) \in A_n$. Thus, $x_m \in B_l$, whence $x_m x_l \in U^2 \cap \xi'$. This contradiction proves that the ultrafilter $\mathscr{W}$ is Q-point.

To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to note that the property of being P-point is, obviously, preserved by maps of ultrafilters and that the selective ultrafilters are precisely those which are simultaneously P-point and Q-point. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let (G, τ) be a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected topological group with identity element e such that the filter of neighborhoods of e is nonrapid. Suppose that $(U_n)_{n\in\omega}$ is a decreasing sequence of clopen neighborhoods of e such that $U_n = U_n^{-1}$, $U_{n+1}^3 \subset U_n$, and $\bigcap_n U_n = \{e\}$. Then the family*

$$\mathscr{U} = \{\{n : V \cap U_n \setminus U_{n+1} \neq \varnothing\} : V \text{ is a neighborhood of } e\}$$

is a P-point ultrafilter on ω. If, moreover, all sets U_n are of finite index, then $\mathscr{U}$ can be mapped to a selective ultrafilter.

Indeed, given any set $S \subset \omega$, we have either $S \notin \mathscr{U}$ or $\omega \setminus S \notin \mathscr{U}$ by virtue of extremal disconnectedness. Thus, $\mathscr{U}$ is an ultrafilter, and $\{\mathscr{U}\} = \mathrm{Ult}(\theta)(\mathrm{Ult}_e^*(G))$. It remains to apply Theorem 2.3.

3. Discrete sequences in Boolean groups

All countable Boolean groups are isomorphic to each other and to the group $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ of finite subsets of ω with the operation $\triangle$ of symmetric difference defined by $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ for $A, B \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$; the zero of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ is the empty set $\varnothing$. We also use the additive notation: $A + B = A \triangle B$ and $\mathbf{0} = \varnothing$. Given a nonempty set $A \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, by $\min A$ and $\max A$ we denote the minimum and maximum elements of A as a subset of ω.

In this section, we identify all countable Boolean groups with $[\omega]^{<\omega}$.

The proof of our main theorem on Boolean groups is based on two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $\mathscr{U}$ is a free ultrafilter on $[\omega]^{<\omega}$, $\xi=(X_n)_{n\in\omega}\in\mathscr{U}$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\min X_n=\infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{Y}_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of finite subsets of ξ such that $\bigcup_{n\in\omega}\mathcal{Y}_n\in\mathscr{U}$ and $\big(\bigcup\mathcal{Y}_i\big)\cap\big(\bigcup\mathcal{Y}_j\big)=\varnothing$ for any different $i,j\in\omega$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{V}=\min\mathscr{U}=\{\{\min X:X\in\mathcal{M}\}:\mathcal{M}\in\mathscr{U}\}$. We assume that $\min X_0=0$. Given $n\in\omega$, we set $h(n)=\max\{\max X:X\in\xi,\ \min X\le n\}$ and $f(n)=1+\max\{h(n),n\}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we choose monotone sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\omega},(b_n)_{n\in\omega}\subset\omega$ so that $a_n<b_n<f(b_n)<a_{n+1}$ for all $n\in\omega$ and $\bigcup_{n\in\omega}[a_n,b_n]\in\mathscr{V}$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_n=\{X\in\xi:\min X\in[a_n,b_n]\}$. Then $\bigcup_{n\in\omega}\mathcal{Y}_n\in\mathscr{U}$. Since $\bigcup\mathcal{Y}_n\subset[a_n,a_{n+1}-1]$ for each $n\in\omega$, it follows that the family $\{\bigcup\mathcal{Y}_n:n\in\omega\}$ is disjoint. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $G=[\omega]^{<\omega}$ be a countable nondiscrete Boolean topological group in which the filter of neighborhoods of zero is nonrapid. Then there exists a sequence $\xi=(X_n)_{n\in\omega}\subset G\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that*

(i) *ξ is discrete, and its only limit point is $\mathbf{0}$;*
(ii) *ξ can be partitioned into finite subsets $\mathcal{Y}_n$, $n\in\omega$, so that $(\mathcal{Y}_i+\mathcal{Y}_j)\cap\xi=\varnothing$ for different $i,j\in\omega$.*

Proof. Consider the sets $H_n=[\{m\in\omega:m\ge n\}]^{<\omega}$, $n\in\omega$; these are subgroups of finite index in G. By Corollary 2.3, there exists a discrete sequence $\xi'=(X'_n)_{n\in\omega}\subset G\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\mathbf{0}$ is its only limit point and $\xi'\setminus H_n$ is finite for each $n\in\omega$. We have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\min X'_n=\infty$. Let $\mathscr{U}$ be an ultrafilter on G converging to $\mathbf{0}$ and containing ξ' as an element. Using Lemma 3.1, we choose a sequence $(\mathcal{Y}_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of finite subsets of ξ' so that $\bigcup_{n\in\omega}\mathcal{Y}_n\in\mathscr{U}$ and $\big(\bigcup\mathcal{Y}_i\big)\cap\big(\bigcup\mathcal{Y}_j\big)=\varnothing$ for any different $i,j\in\omega$. It remains to set $\xi=\bigcup_{n\in\omega}\mathcal{Y}_n$. □

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that there are no rapid ultrafilters. Let G be a countable nondiscrete Boolean topological group. Then there exist two disjoint discrete sequences $(X_n)_{n\in\omega},(Y_n)_{n\in\omega}\subset G\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ for each of which $\mathbf{0}$ is a unique limit point.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, there is a sequence $\xi=(X_n)_{n\in\omega}\subset G\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that

(i) ξ is discrete, and $\mathbf{0}$ is its only limit point;
(ii) ξ can be partitioned into finite subsets $\mathcal{Y}_n$, $n\in\omega$, so that $(\mathcal{Y}_i+\mathcal{Y}_j)\cap\xi=\varnothing$ for different $i,j\in\omega$.

Using Corollary 2.2, we find $\xi'=(Y_n)_n\subset G\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that

(iii) ξ' is discrete, and $\mathbf{0}$ is its only limit point;
(iv) $\xi'\subset\bigcup_{i\ne j}(\mathcal{Y}_i+\mathcal{Y}_j)$.

It follows from (ii) and (iv) that $\xi\cap\xi'=\varnothing$. □

4. Answers and questions

Corollary 2.4 solves a problem of Protasov [23]. Namely, the following assertion is valid.

Corollary 4.1. *It is consistent with ZFC that any countable nondiscrete topological group contains a nonclosed discrete subset with only one limit point.*

This assertion gives also a partial answer to Arhangel'skii and Collins' question on the existence in ZFC of a nondiscrete nodec topological group [24, Problem 8.1].

According to Theorem 2.3, the existence of a countable nondiscrete topological group containing no two disjoint discrete sequences for each of which the identity is a unique limit point implies the existence of either a rapid ultrafilter or a P-point ultrafilter. As mentioned in the introduction, it is unknown whether the nonexistence of both rapid and P-point ultrafilters is consistent with ZFC. This gives rise to the following question.

Problem 1. Does there exist in ZFC a countable nondiscrete topological group containing no two disjoint discrete sequences which have the same unique limit point?

Note that such a group cannot be Boolean by virtue of Theorem 3.1.

Recall that a topological space is said to be *resolvable* if it can be partitioned into two dense subsets; otherwise, a space is *irresolvable*. A topological space is said to be *ω-resolvable* if it can be represented as a countable disjoint union of dense subsets. Any homogeneous regular space containing a countable discrete nonclosed set is ω-resolvable (see [12, Theorem 3.33]). Therefore, Corollary 2.4 implies the following assertion.

Corollary 4.2. *The neighborhood filter of the identity element of any countable nondiscrete ω-resolvable topological group is rapid.*

Recall that a topological group G is said to be *maximal* if G with any stronger (not necessarily group) topology has isolated points. Clearly, any maximal group is irresolvable. Moreover, it is known that any maximal group is locally countable and even contains a countable open Boolean subgroup [6] (see also [12, Theorem 5.7]). Therefore, Corollary 4.2 has the following consequence.

Corollary 4.3. *The neighborhood filter of the identity element of any maximal topological group is rapid.*

The existence of a countable nondiscrete ω-irresolvable topological group implies the existence of a P-point in $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ (see [12, Theorem 12.13]).

Problem 2. Does the existence of a countable nondiscrete maximal (irresolvable, ω-irresolvable) topological group imply the existence of a selective ultrafilter?

As is known, if X and Y are countable semiseparated sets in an extremally disconnected space ("semiseparated" means that $\overline{X} \cap Y = X \cap \overline{Y} = \varnothing$), then $\overline{X} \cap \overline{Y} = \varnothing$ (see, e.g., [25, Proposition 1.9]). Combining this with Theorem 3.1 and recalling that any extremally disconnected group contains an open Boolean subgroup, we arrive at the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.4. *The existence of a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group implies the existence of a rapid ultrafilter.*

Corollary 4.4 solves Arhangel'skii's problem mentioned in the introduction for countable groups.

Problem 3. Is it true that the neighborhood filter of the identity element of any countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group is rapid?

All examples of nondiscrete extremally disconnected groups known to the authors are constructed in models with selective ultrafilters. Note that the existence of a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group containing a nonclosed discrete subset implies that of a P-ultrafilter [13].

Problem 4. Does the existence of a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group imply that of

(1) a selective ultrafilter;
(2) a P-point ultrafilter;
(3) a Q-point ultrafilter?

Corollary 4.4 can be refined as follows: *If G is a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected topological group, then some ultrafilter $\mathscr{U} \in \mathrm{Ult}_e(G)$ can be finite-to-one mapped to a rapid ultrafilter on ω.* This suggests the following more specific formulation of Problem 4.

Problem 5. Let G be a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected topological group. Does there exist an ultrafilter $\mathscr{U} \in \mathrm{Ult}_e(G)$ that can be mapped to

(1) a selective ultrafilter;
(2) a P-point ultrafilter;
(3) a Q-point ultrafilter?

References

[1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, "Groupes topologiques extremalement discontinus," C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **265**, 822–825 (1967).
[2] R. G. Woods, "A survey of absolutes of topological spaces," in *Topological Structures II*, Ed. by P. C. Baayen and J. van Mill (Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979), Part 2, pp. 323–362.
[3] S. Sirota, "The product of topological groups and extremal disconnectedness," Math. USSR-Sb. **8** (2), 169–180 (1969).
[4] A. Louveau, "Sur un article de S. Sirota," Bull. Sci. Math. (France) **96**, 3–7 (1972).
[5] V. I. Malykhin, "Extremally disconnected and similar groups," Soviet Math. Dokl. **16**, 21–25 (1975).
[6] V. I. Malykhin, "On extremally disconnected topological groups," Russian Math. Surveys **34** (6), 67–76 (1979).
[7] E. G. Zelenyuk, "Topological groups with finite semigroups of ultrafilters," Mat. Studii **6**, 41–52 (1996).
[8] E. G. Zelenyuk, "Extremal ultrafilters and topologies on groups," Mat. Studii 14, 121–140 (2000).
[9] V. I. Ponomarev and L. B. Shapiro, "Absolutes of topological spaces and their continuous maps," Russian Math. Surveys **31** (5), 138–154 (1976).
[10] W. W. Comfort and Jan van Mill, "Groups with only resolvable group topologies," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (3), 687–696 (1994).
[11] "Filters and topologies on semigroups," Mat. Studii **3**, 15–28 (1994).
[12] Y. G. Zelenyuk, *Ultrafilters and Topologies on Groups* (De Gruyter, Berlin, 2011).
[13] Y. Zelenyuk, "On extremally disconnected topological groups," Topology Appl. **153**, 2382–2385 (2006).
[14] O. V. Sipacheva, "Nonexistence of countable extremally disconnected groups with many open subgroups," Topology Appl. **179**, 193–199 (2015).
[15] G. Mokobodzki, "Ultrafiltres rapides sur $\mathbb{N}$. Construction d'une densité relative de deux potentiels comparables," Séminaire Brelot–Choquet–Deny. Théorie du potentiel **12**, 1–22 (1967–1968).
[16] A. W. Miller, "There are no Q-points in Laver's model for the Borel conjecture," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1), 103–106, 1980.
[17] S. Shelah, *Proper and Improper Forcing* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998).
[18] E. L. Wimmers, "The Shelah P-point independence theorem," Israel J. Math. **43** (1), 28–48 (1982).
[19] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics*, Book III: *General Topology* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1995), Chapters 1–4.

[20] F. P. Ramsey, "On a problem of formal logic," Proc. London Math. Soc. **30** (1), 264–286 (1930).
[21] V. Keyantuoy and Y. Zelenyuk, "Discrete subsets and convergent sequences in topological groups," Topology Appl. **191**, 137–142 (2015).
[22] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, "Cardinal invariants of topological groups. Embeddings and condensations," Soviet Math. Dokl. **20**, 783–787 (1979).
[23] I. V. Protasov, "Discrete subsets of topological groups," Math. Notes **55** (1), 101–102 (1994).
[24] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ and P. J. Collins, "On submaximal spaces," Topology Appl. **64** (3), 219–241 (1995).
[25] Z. Frolík, "Maps of extremally disconnected spaces, theory of types, and applications," in *General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra. III*, Proceedings of the 1968 Kanpur Topological Conference, Academia Prague (Academic, New York–London, 1971), pp. 131–142.

Department of General Topology and Geometry, Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory 1, Moscow, 199991 Russia

E-mail address: erezn@inbox.ru, osipa@gmail.com